IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

SHERRI R. LOWE,	§
Plaintiff,	§ § 8
v.	§ Civil Action No. 3:14-CV-1407-K (BF)
EXTEND HEALTH, INC.,	§ §
Defendant.	§ §

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff Sherri R. Lowe in this civil *pro se* civil action brought under 42 U.S.C. 2000, *et seq.*, has filed a motion for default judgment against Defendant Extend Health, Inc. in the amount of \$10 million dollars. As grounds for her motion, Plaintiff contends that Defendant failed to timely answer or otherwise respond to her complaint.

Rule 55 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure sets forth certain conditions under which default may be entered against a party, as well as the procedure to seek the entry of default judgment. Fed.R.Civ.P. 55. The Fifth Circuit requires a three-step process for securing a default judgment. New York Life Ins. Co. v. Brown, 84 F.3d 137, 141 (5th Cir. 1996). First, a default occurs when a defendant has failed to plead or otherwise respond to the complaint within the time required by Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. FED. R. Civ. P. 55(a); New York Life Ins., 84 F.3d at 141. Next, an entry of default may be entered by the clerk when the default is established by affidavit or otherwise. FED. R. Civ. P. 55(a); New York Life Ins., 84 F.3d at 141. Third, a plaintiff may then apply to the clerk or the court for a default judgment after an entry of default. FED. R. Civ. P. 55(b); New York Life Ins., 84 F.3d at 141.

Even if a defendant is technically in default, a plaintiff is not entitled to a default judgment as a matter of right. Lewis v. Lynn, 236 F.3d 766, 767 (5th Cir. 2001) (per curiam). "In fact, '[d]efault judgments are a drastic remedy, not favored by the Federal Rules and resorted to by courts only in extreme situations." Id. (quoting Sun Bank of Ocala v. Pelican Homestead and Savs. Ass'n 874 F.2d 274, 276 (5th Cir. 1989)). The Fifth Circuit favors resolving cases on their merits and generally disfavors default judgments. Rogers v. Hartford Life & Accident Ins. Co., 167 F.3d 933, 936 (5th Cir. 1999). Default judgment "should not be granted on the claim, without more, that the defendant had failed to meet a procedural time requirement." Mason & Hanger-Silas Mason Co., Inc. v. Metal Trades Council, 726 F.2d 166, 168 (5th Cir. 1984) (per curiam). The decision to enter a judgment by default is discretionary. Stelax Indus., Ltd. v. Donahue, No. 3:03-CV-923-M, 2004 WL 733844, at *11 (N.D. Tex. Mar. 25, 2004).

In this case, Defendant is not in default. The docket reflects that Defendant was served on May 22, 2014. Doc. 10. Under Rule 12, Defendant was required to answer within 21 days, or by June 12, 2014. FED. R. CIV. P. 12(a)(i). Defendant timely filed its answer on June 11, 2014. Nor has the clerk entered a default. Indeed, the clerk expressly denied Plaintiff's request to enter a default because the request was premature. Therefore, the District Court should deny Plaintiff's motion for a default judgment because she has not satisfied the requirements of Rule 55.

RECOMMENDATION

The District Court should DENY Plaintiff's Motion for Default-Judgment (Doc. 11).

SO RECOMMENDED, August 2014.

PAUL D. STICKNEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERVICE AND NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL/OBJECT

A copy of this report and recommendation shall be served on all parties in the manner provided by law. Any party who objects to any part of this report and recommendation must file specific written objections within 14 days after being served with a copy. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b). In order to be specific, an objection must identify the specific finding or recommendation to which objection is made, state the basis for the objection, and specify the place in the magistrate judge's report and recommendation where the disputed determination is found. An objection that merely incorporates by reference or refers to the briefing before the magistrate judge is not specific. Failure to file specific written objections will bar the aggrieved party from appealing the factual findings and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge that are accepted or adopted by the district court, except upon grounds of plain error. See Douglass v. United Services Automobile Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1417 (5th Cir. 1996).